Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental				
	Number	03-3961/1		Introd	duction Nur	mber SI	B-376				
Subjec											
Nonme	Nonmetallic mining financial assurances										
Fiscal	Effect				- I I I						
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reveni Decrea Reveni	ase Existing	to al	ease Costs - bsorb within Yes rease Costs	- May be possible agency's budget No				
1	ndeterminate	e Costs sive Mandato	3. Increas	sive Man	Gove	es of Local ernment Unit Fowns [] Counties []	s Affected Village Cities				
	Permiss	ive Mandato	4. Decrea		[1]8	School Districts	WTCS Districts				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR PRO PRO SEG SEGS											
Agency	y/Prepared E	Зу	Au	uthorized S	ignature		Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794				e Polasek (1/14/2004						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 1/15/2004

LRB Number 03-3961/1	Introduction Number	SB-376	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject									
Nonmetallic mining financial assurances									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The proposed language creates s.295.13 (4) which adds language that explicitly prohibits a perceived redundant "double burden" by a municipality and by a county upon nonmetallic mine operators with regard to the posting of financial assurance to guarantee the reclamation of such mines. The bill states that if a municipality requires an operator to provide financial assurance for nonmetallic mining reclamation, the county must give a credit (in the form of certificate of deposit, irrevocable letter of credit, irrevocable trust, or others) for the value of the financial assurance toward the amount that the operator is required to provide under the county ordinance.

No fiscal effect is anticipated. Current law and administrative code, Ch. NR 135, do not allow for redundancy in the administration of reclamation programs including financial assurance. Only one jurisdiction may administer a reclamation program and may do so only after enacting a nonmetallic mining reclamation ordinance.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Upd	ated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 03-3961/1		Introduction Num	nber SB-376			
Subject						
Nonmetallic mining financial assurance	es					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impac	ts for Stat	e and/or Local Governn	nent (do not include in			
annualized fiscal effect):			•			
			_			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
		Increased Costs	Decreased Cost			
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fring	ges	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	<u>'</u>	\$	\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this o revenues (e.g., tax increase, decreas	nly when e in licens	proposal will increase o	r decrease state			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Re			
GPR Taxes		\$	\$			
GPR Earned		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$			
NET A	NNUALIZE	D FISCAL IMPACT				
		State	Loca			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$			
			<u> </u>			
Agency/Prepared By	Aut	horized Signature	Date			
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe	Polasek (608) 266-2794	1/14/2004			
		(===),====	1/17/2004			